



Treatment Refusal/ Guardianship, Conservatorship, and Advance Directives

Ronald Schouten, MD, JD

Director, Forensic Psychiatry Fellowship Program

Saint Elizabeths Hospital

Washington, DC

Associate Professor of Psychiatry

Harvard Medical School

Disclosures

Neither I nor my spouse have a relevant financial relationship with a commercial interest to disclose.

The Right to Refuse Treatment

- All competent individuals have a right to make decisions about their own medical care, even though the decision may be at odds with the views of others, including the treating clinician(s)
- Who decides for those lacking decision-making capacity, i.e. incompetent (incapacitated) persons?
- Autonomy: right to be left alone and to bodily integrity
- Constitutional rights/common law/statutes all play a role

Current Practice

- General awareness and acceptance where
 - Patient has a psychotic illness
 - Patient is refusing treatment
- Continued resistance where patient is being treated with antipsychotics
 - For other illnesses
 - In low doses
 - In a non-psychiatric facility, such as nursing homes, medical/surgical units
 - Is consenting/assenting
- Wide acceptance of substituted judgment

Why the Resistance?

- The balance between duty to care for the patient and importance of autonomy
- Patients sit unmedicated waiting for legal proceedings
- Extended lengths of stay
- A decision from a different time
- Differing views of risks, especially with the newer antipsychotics
- Awareness and acceptance of the sliding scale approach to capacity assessment

Why the Resistance?

- One rule for every medicine and every situation ?
 - Prochlorperazine (Compazine)
 - Metoclopramide (Reglan)
 - Low dose antipsychotics for the sun downing or agitated demented patient
 - Cancer chemotherapy
- Why should antipsychotics be treated differently than other medications?

The Consequences

- Disrespect for the rule
- Incomplete compliance
- The underlying principles of autonomy and individual choice are lost

Who Decides for the Incapacitated Person? And how?

- Rights-driven model
 - Emphasizes protection of patient's right to autonomy
 - Minimal difference between rights of voluntary and involuntary patients
 - Patient's right to refuse even appropriate treatment is afforded protection through due process
 - States differ in how they apply this model (e.g., decision by guardian vs. judge)
- Treatment-driven model
 - Emphasizes protection of patient's right to adequate treatment during involuntary hospitalization
 - Limited right to refuse appropriate treatment
 - Primarily administrative, rather than judicial
 - Favored by federal courts

Involuntary Treatment: Differences Among States (adapted from Beinner, 2007)

- Involuntary treatment at time of admission (with clinical authorization): GA, MD, MI, MO, NJ, NC, PA, SC, TN, WV
- Administrative hearing required: DC, ME, NE, NV, NH
- Involuntary medication allowed upon judicial commitment: AL, AR, AZ, DE, KS, ID, IN, LA, MI, MT, WI, WI, WY, UT
- Allowed upon judicial commitment if need presented: MN, FL, IA, OK, RI, WA
- Allowed after separate hearing at time of commitment: AK, IL, TX
- Requires separate judicial hearing, usually after commitment hearing: CA, CO, HI, KY, MA, NY, ND, OH, OR, SD, VT, VA
- Requires a separate judicial order for a guardian: CT, NM

Rights Driven Model: Differences Among States

- Surrogate decision maker after a determination of incapacity
 - Guardian appointed by the court
 - Judge
- Decision making model
 - Best interests of the patient
 - Substituted judgment: What the incapacitated person would have decided if capable of making an informed choice

Two Rights Driven Models

- Adversarial (ex. Massachusetts)
 - Full legal proceedings
 - Judge decides
 - Issue of capacity (competence);
 - If incapacitated, whether the individual would accept the treatment if they had capacity;
 - Whether the treatment plan is appropriate
- Administrative (ex. District of Columbia)
 - Clinicians (non-treating) determine capacity
 - If incapacitated, atty-in-fact or substitute decisionmaker can consent (substituted judgment analysis)
 - In absence of those, can treat only after approval from administrative process
 - Single neutral person decides; due process rights
 - Patient can appeal to administrative body (“Medication Panel) consisting of non-treating psychiatrist, other licensed practitioner, consumer or consumer advocate

Clinical Aspects of Substituted Judgment

- Factors considered by the judge: Massachusetts as an example
 - The ward's expressed preferences regarding treatment
 - The ward's religious beliefs
 - The impact upon the ward's family
 - The probability of adverse side effects
 - The consequences if treatment is refused
 - The prognosis with treatment

Clinical Aspects of Substituted Judgment

- Shifting decisions and religious preferences
- Cross cultural issues
- The family member from out of town
- The family member/agent/guardian with “issues”
- Probability of adverse side effects

Clinical Aspects of Substituted Judgment

- Consequences if treatment is refused:
 - Controversy over the impact of delayed treatment
 - Controversy over neuroprotective vs. damaging effects of antipsychotics
 - Unknown long-term effects in children

Clinical Aspects of Substituted Judgment

- Prognosis with treatment
 - Generally better than without
 - Off-label uses of antipsychotics

The Newer Antipsychotics

- Highly effective
- Fewer side effects, but not side effect free
 - Metabolic syndrome
 - EKG changes
 - Orthostatic hypotension
 - Dyskinesias

Guardianships and Conservatorships

- Legal entity in which another person is given legal responsibility for an individual who has been deemed unable to fulfill some or all personal or financial responsibilities
- Terminology differs among jurisdictions
 - Guardianship/ Conservatorship of the person: decisions re treatment, living situation, other personal day-to-day matters
 - Guardianship/Conservatorship of the estate: decisions regarding finances
 - May have one without the other
 - Tailored to the individual/situation

Alternative Decision Making Tools

- Living wills
- Durable powers of attorney
- Health Care Proxy (HCP)
 - Uniform Probate Code; adopted in many states but may be modified
 - The Principal appoints the Agent to make decisions on his or her behalf in the event of incapacity
 - Agent can make “any and all” decisions that the Agent could have made prior to incapacity
 - Hospitalization
 - Antipsychotics
 - ECT

Potential Problems with HCPs

- Presumption of capacity to execute
- Presumption of capacity to revoke
- Principal can restrict choices of agent, e.g. no ECT, antipsychotics
- Solutions:
 - Presumptions are refutable
 - Original document can be used to establish Principal's preferences at time of execution